

Spatial Dimensions Of Ambush Marketing In Sports: Implications For Urban Planning And Regional Development

Gowri Manogari S^{1*}, Amitha Jayakumar²

^{1*}Assistant professor, Saveetha School of Law, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Science (Simats), Saveetha University, Chennai 600077, India.

Email ID: gowrimanogaris.ssl@saveetha.com, ORCID ID: 0009-0009-7035-6022

² student, Saveetha School of law, Chennai, India.

Email ID: a.jayakumar2910@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: Gowri Manogari S

Email ID: gowrimanogaris.ssl@saveetha.com, ORCID ID: 0009-0009-7035-6022

Abstract

In the contemporary world of international sports, marketing strategies have evolved into complex, multidimensional systems that extend beyond mere promotion. The phenomenon of ambush marketing where non-sponsors exploit major sports events to gain publicity without official authorization raises significant ethical, economic, and legal concerns. This study investigates ambush marketing through the interdisciplinary lens of geography, urban planning, and development, emphasizing how such practices are spatially manifested within host cities. Mega sporting events such as the Olympics and FIFA World Cup act as catalysts for urban transformation, where spatial control, city branding, and economic redevelopment converge. Ambush marketing capitalizes on these restructured cityscapes, using public spaces, transport routes, and digital infrastructures to circumvent regulatory boundaries and achieve unauthorized visibility. By integrating spatial theory and event geography, this paper explores how ambush marketing alters urban form, challenges spatial governance, and impacts local economies. It argues that the phenomenon functions as both a commercial strategy and a spatial process that reshapes city visibility and development trajectories. The findings highlight the need for policy frameworks that align urban design, marketing regulation, and sustainable development to safeguard equity in urban commercial spaces. Ultimately, ambush marketing is repositioned as a spatially embedded socio-economic issue one that reflects the intersection of global capital, city planning, and the geography of visibility in contemporary urban landscapes.

Keywords: Ambush marketing, urban geography, city branding, spatial governance, sustainable urban development

1. Introduction

The era of sports is now referred to as corporatisation of sports, because the sports hobbies include huge business opportunities and large-scale events. The sponsorship is the most powerful technique for commercialisation of their brand to gain profit. Many companies annually invest substantial funds in sponsorship. There is evidence that sponsorship of events like the Olympics can improve brand reputation, regardless of the financial performance of the firm or the amount of sponsorship. In India alone the sports sponsorship spending reached Rs 7,421 crore (USD 883 million) in 2024. The official sponsors, after spending a huge amount as sponsor for the event, were affected by ambush marketing. This paper deals with ambush marketing and its types, the need for brand sponsors, legal consideration related to ambush marketing (Chanavat & Desbordes 2014).

However, beyond the economic and legal aspects, the spatial and developmental dimensions of ambush marketing have become increasingly significant in the context of global sports geography. Mega sporting events such as the Olympics, FIFA World Cup, and Commonwealth Games are not merely athletic gatherings they are urban spectacles that reshape cityscapes, transform infrastructure, and influence regional development

policies. The visibility of brands during these events is inherently geographical, determined by spatial planning, zoning regulations, and urban design strategies that define where and how marketing messages appear within the urban fabric.

Ambush marketing represents an informal and spatially opportunistic phenomenon that challenges organized urban governance. It utilizes physical and digital spaces around official venues streets, public transit corridors, fan zones, and urban commercial hubs to create unofficial brand presence. Such spatial practices blur the boundaries between planned urban commercial zones and unregulated promotional areas. Consequently, understanding ambush marketing requires analyzing not only its economic effects but also its influence on urban form, spatial equity, and development planning (McKelvey et al., 2012).

Cities hosting mega-events often pursue ambitious redevelopment projects to enhance global visibility and attract investment. Yet, the proliferation of ambush marketing reconfigures these efforts by diverting attention from official sponsors and altering the symbolic geography of the host city. It affects how public spaces are used, how visual landscapes are managed, and how economic benefits are distributed across local and global actors. Therefore, situating ambush marketing within the discipline of geography and urban planning allows scholars and policymakers to assess its implications for sustainable urban development, public policy, and equitable spatial governance (Nufer, 2013).

2. Ambush marketing

The term Ambush marketing was first coined by Bayless in 1988 “A company's purposeful and false association with an event to gain similar benefits as official sponsors, essentially taking advantage of the event's popularity without being a formal sponsor” Any kind of marketing activity undertaken around a property by an entity that is not a sponsor, where the entity seeks commercial benefit from associating itself with the property. Ambush marketing is the technique, the non- official sponsor gains benefits like an official sponsor without paying the sponsorship fee. The aim of ambush marketing is to obtain more of the gains associated with an official event sponsorship but without incurring the same extent of its costs. “Ambushers” are becoming increasingly astute at developing ways to circumvent legal attempts to control non-sponsor marketing strategies. The different types of direct, indirect or associative, incidental or unintentional ambush marketing. Ambush marketing can take various forms, including digital marketing strategies that leverage online platforms to reach audiences without direct sponsorship.

Ambush marketing takes on a spatial dimension that extends beyond marketing strategy. It involves the occupation and utilization of urban spaces surrounding mega sporting events such as stadiums, fan zones, transportation networks, and public squares to capture public attention. These spaces often serve as contested zones between official sponsors, city authorities, and non-sponsoring corporations. By strategically placing advertisements, billboards, or pop-up events within visibility of event venues, ambush marketers reshape the urban visual landscape, influencing both mobility patterns and the perception of urban space.

From a planning perspective, this phenomenon reveals how economic power intersects with spatial governance. Ambush marketing activities challenge urban zoning regulations and municipal advertising policies, particularly in global cities that host international sports events. For instance, in cities like London and Rio de Janeiro, ambush marketers used surrounding districts and transport corridors to project their brand presence despite advertising restrictions near official venues. Such spatial practices highlight the porous boundaries between regulated commercial zones and informal marketing

environments, contributing to the geography of urban visibility and economic inequality (Wolfsteiner, 2015).

Furthermore, ambush marketing demonstrates how global events produce localized impacts on city planning and development. The temporary yet intensive reconfiguration of cityscapes during these events generates spatial hierarchies centralizing certain urban districts as high-value advertising corridors while marginalizing others (Ellis et al., 2016). This spatial concentration of commercial attention often parallels patterns of urban redevelopment, displacement, and gentrification, illustrating how marketing strategies can indirectly shape urban transformation.

Therefore, ambush marketing is not merely a commercial or legal issue but also a geographic and developmental one. It reflects how cities become arenas of global competition not only among athletes but also among brands striving for urban visibility. Understanding its spatial logic is essential for integrating event management, advertising control, and urban planning into coherent developmental strategies that preserve both economic vitality and spatial equity.

3. Need for brand visibility

There are many reasons behind the need for brand visibility, some of the key reasons are listed below

1. Large and Engaged Audience Reach: Global sporting events, such as the World Cup and Olympic Games, bring together massive audiences, often reaching billions of viewers worldwide. This provides an unparalleled opportunity for brands to broadly reach target customers. The adrenaline and shared experience of these events make audiences more receptive to everything happening around them, including marketing messages. Companies plan elaborate advertising campaigns specifically to capture the attention of this vast audience.

2. Marketing Opportunity and Platform: Major sporting events offer an ideal platform for integrating targeted marketing communication into an attractive environment. The high interest and intense competition from other corporations wishing to benefit from the global mega-sports event platform has created some prominent issues for the IOC; namely the need for increased protection of sponsors from ambush marketing organizations. They are seen as valuable commodities for corporations wishing to benefit from association. For example, the financial contribution of worldwide Olympic sponsors and domestic sponsors for the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Winter Games reached nearly US \$1 billion. In the case of FIFA, marketing revenue for the period 2007–10 was more than \$1 billion. Given the desirability of such properties and the hefty price tag to acquire exclusive rights, there has been a growth in companies wishing to reap some of the benefits of sponsorship without paying for those association rights. The large audiences create a "soapbox" that brands can't get elsewhere, offering immense potential for brand exposure. Sport itself is eagerly used as a communication platform due to its popularity, multidimensionality, the strong feelings it arouses, and its high efficiency.

3. Brand Awareness and Recognition: Increased awareness levels are a direct benefit derived from associating with these events. Sponsorship, in particular, is used to increase brand awareness and familiarity, and event sponsorship enhances brand recognition among consumers. According to Séguin (1993), it is people's attitude and high regard of the Olympic Games that attach great value to Olympic sponsorship. The special features of Olympic advertising, the positive public perceptions and attitudes to Olympic sponsorship, and the correlation between the sponsor and the Olympics are among the contributing factors to a positive brand image to name just a few (Stipp, 1996). Brand

awareness is defined as a potential buyer's ability to recognise or recall a brand and is cultivated through effective marketing communications. Consumers have encountered or heard of a brand at any point; they are capable of recognizing it. Therefore, brand awareness is intertwined with consumers' capacity to identify brands across diverse circumstances. Brand awareness constitutes an asset that shapes consumers' perceptions, preferences, and behaviors. Hence, brand awareness assumes a pivotal role in consumer decision-making processes. Elevated brand awareness heightens the likelihood of selecting a specific brand and augments the level of brand loyalty. Brand awareness mitigates the time and risk consumers expend in seeking out a product for purchase. Consequently, consumers are inclined to opt for a brand they are acquainted with and knowledgeable about. Previous research has underscored the positive influence of brand awareness on brand loyalty (Townley, 1998).

4. Image Building and Brand Association: Visibility during sporting events helps in establishing positive perceptions and building corporate reputation and a positive brand image. Sponsorship, which provides financial, human, and physical support to a certain event despite the risk of loss, is such a persuasive promotional tool (Sandler, 1989). Brands can transfer the positive image and values of the event or athletes to their own brand. Sport sponsorship is a prominent means of leveraging favourable images to increase awareness and understanding of firms and their products. Sponsorship has become an increasingly popular tool for increasing brand exposure and strategic marketing communication, shaping consumer attitudes and managing brand equity (Chavanat et al., 2009; Meenaghan, 1994).

5. Competitive Advantage: Visibility helps firms differentiate themselves from competitors. Securing visibility through official sponsorship, particularly through category exclusivity, is a pre-emptive strategy to decrease the threat of competition. It is also used as a defensive strategy to prevent competitors from enjoying the exposure. As per 2013, the six FIFA Partners (Adidas, Coca-Cola, Emirates, Hyundai-Kia, Sony and Visa, whose relationship goes beyond the World Cup, covering all major events) were estimated to spend \$730 million combined for the rights in 2014, having in return the highest level of exposure and exclusive association with FIFA within their product categories.

6. Value Creation and Financial Impact: Brands realise the "boundless value" that sponsoring sports can add and the great impact on sales. Increased consumer awareness resulting from visibility can lead to increased purchases and higher firm value. Sponsorship spending was \$5.6 billion and in 2017 it was \$62.7 billion, growing tenfold during the past thirty years (Statista, 2018). Sports sponsorships account for approximately 70% of all sponsorship activities in India. Sponsorship is a strategic approach to increasing brand awareness and stimulating financial growth.

7. Ambush Marketing Phenomenon: The very existence and prevalence of ambush marketing underscore the perceived importance of gaining visibility during these events. Companies that cannot afford official sponsorship fees still seek to capitalise on the event's awareness and goodwill by creating an association without authorisation (Dickson et al., 2015). Ambush marketing is effective precisely because it leverages the increased broadcast media attention and audience surrounding the event and can lead to consumer confusion regarding the official sponsor. It allows ambushers to generate significant brand exposure at a lower cost.

In summary, global sports events provide unparalleled visibility to massive, engaged audiences, making them crucial platforms for brands seeking to increase awareness, build image, differentiate from competitors, and ultimately drive sales and brand value.

4. Legal consideration of ambush marketing

The legal considerations for ambush marketing are varied often, here some of the legal considerations are there for reference. The legal status of ambush marketing is frequently described as confusing and not always illegal, with an unclear body of law that can lead to confusion and deregulation. Ambush marketing is seen as a controversial business practice, but not necessarily illegal. Ambush laws can be classified as either a legal issue (specific law) or a business issue (entrepreneurial law). Specific anti-ambushing legislation exists in some countries, such as the Sydney 2000 Games (Indicia and Images) Protection Act 1996 in Australia (Meenaghan, 1998). However, event-specific legislation is often the result of bidding processes where event organizers impose tailor-made law-making obligations on host countries. This can clash with the principle of legality, where laws are meant to be general and abstract, and not designed to protect specific entities. Such laws may also breach equality principles by granting protection over descriptive terms that would otherwise be non-registrable. The manipulation of governments by sports organizations to adopt host site obligations can be viewed as an abusive exercise of market power by undertakings enjoying a dominant position for their specific events. From a geographical and planning perspective, these legal frameworks are not only juridical instruments but also spatial tools. Many host cities introduce temporary “advertising exclusion zones” that regulate the physical and visual environment around event venues. These zones delineate where commercial messages can or cannot appear, effectively transforming parts of the city into controlled economic and visual territories. The creation and enforcement of these spatially bounded legal zones highlight the intersection between law, geography, and urban governance.

For example, during the London 2012 Olympic Games, the Olympic Delivery Authority established controlled advertising areas to protect official sponsors, prohibiting unauthorized branding within specified perimeters. Similarly, the FIFA World Cup regulations in South Africa and Brazil required urban planners to coordinate zoning, public space management, and mobility routes to align with event-specific legal mandates (Piątkowska et al., 2015). These measures demonstrate how legal control extends beyond contractual rights to influence the spatial configuration of the city, shaping not only commercial visibility but also urban aesthetics and movement patterns.

Trademark infringement law is particularly relevant for providing legal protection for brands. An improved understanding and application of trademark infringement law is considered beneficial for the sports industry. Legal factors in this context include the interpretation and legislation of laws, as well as the need for decisive punishment and enforcement to ensure fairness and equality. Discussion of legal implications is complex, touching upon aspects regulated by contracts and affecting many interests due to the relevance and exposure of major events. Adhering to legal standards and regulations is a legal belief in advertising (Piątkowska et al., 2016). Understanding the legal aspects of sponsorship and advertising is crucial for maintaining a reputable image.

In terms of planning and development, these legal instruments serve as mechanisms of spatial governance. They not only determine who has the right to advertise but also influence how urban space is used, accessed, and experienced during mega-events (Shani, 1998). By regulating the geography of visibility, these laws indirectly shape public behavior, pedestrian flows, and even the symbolic identity of the host city. The selective enforcement of these rules often reflects broader power relations in urban development

where multinational sponsors gain privileged access to urban space, while local or small-scale enterprises are restricted from participation in the event economy.

Furthermore, the uneven capacity of cities to implement and enforce such spatial regulations reveals global disparities in urban governance. In developing nations, limited institutional resources make it difficult to monitor ambush marketing effectively, resulting in fragmented enforcement and localized legal uncertainty. This spatial inconsistency underscores the need for integrated urban and legal frameworks that address both the economic and territorial dimensions of ambush marketing (O'Sullivan & Murphy 1998).

Therefore, the legal geography of ambush marketing must be understood as part of a broader planning discourse that integrates spatial justice, sustainable development, and equitable economic participation. By linking legal regulation to urban policy and development planning, cities can create more coherent strategies that balance commercial interests with public accessibility and spatial integrity (Crow & Hoek 2003).

5. Conclusion

Ambush marketing can be highly effective in gaining brand exposure, awareness and ambushers are getting goodwill and attention without paying the significant sponsorship fees. The consumers had considerable difficulties naming official sponsors versus ambushers. The results gathered from different countries and events underline the effectiveness of ambush marketing campaigns. Ambush marketing is a growing concern of event organisers and rights holders. Over the past 25 years, ambush marketing has become a growing concern not only for sport event organizers and rights holders but for official sponsors as well (Burton & Chadwick 2008), as it is a big threat to sports sponsors in future events. The ambushers not only infringe the IP rights of the holder (sponsors and event organizers) but also transgress IP rights by capitalizing earned goodwill through an event. It not only affects the sponsor even the consumers finding it difficult to distinguish the official sponsors and ambushers in sports events.

From the perspective of geography, planning, and development, the phenomenon of ambush marketing extends beyond the marketing and legal spheres it reshapes the physical and symbolic landscapes of cities hosting mega sporting events. It operates within the spatial fabric of urban areas, occupying streets, transit corridors, public squares, and digital networks to generate unauthorized visibility. This spatial intrusion transforms the geography of urban visibility and challenges municipal authorities who are responsible for maintaining planned advertising zones and preserving the visual integrity of city spaces.

The influence of ambush marketing on urban planning is profound. It exposes the tension between global corporate strategies and local governance systems, revealing how economic globalization interacts with the spatial order of cities. The control of advertising zones, enforcement of exclusion areas, and reconfiguration of public space for mega-events all represent new forms of urban governance that merge commercial power with spatial regulation. As cities compete globally to host sports mega-events, ambush marketing becomes an indicator of how well planning authorities integrate marketing control within sustainable development frameworks.

In developmental terms, ambush marketing affects not only brand competition but also spatial equity and economic inclusion. The dominance of global sponsors and their ability to secure exclusive rights can marginalize local businesses and restrict their access to the economic benefits generated by urban events. Conversely, unauthorized marketing often emerges from local or regional firms attempting to gain visibility within constrained

formal systems. This tension underscores the need for policy reforms that link urban planning, spatial justice, and marketing regulation.

In conclusion, ambush marketing is both a marketing challenge and a spatial governance issue. To mitigate its effects, city planners, policymakers, and event organizers must collaborate to design urban policies that integrate spatial planning, advertising regulation, and equitable access to city spaces. Strengthening the coordination between legal frameworks and urban development strategies can help ensure that future mega-events promote balanced economic growth, cultural integrity, and sustainable urban visibility. Such an integrated approach would transform ambush marketing from a disruptive practice into a lens for understanding the evolving relationship between global commerce and the geography of modern cities.

References

1. Burton, N., & Chadwick, S. (2018). Ambush Marketing Is Dead, Long Live Ambush Marketing: A Redefinition and Typology of an Increasingly Prevalent Phenomenon. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 58(3), 282–296. <https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2017-014>
2. Chadwick, S., & Burton, N. (2011). The Evolving Sophistication of Ambush Marketing: A Typology of Strategies. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 53(6), 709–719.
3. Chanavat, N., & Desbordes, M. (2014). Towards the regulation and restriction of ambush marketing? The first truly social and digital mega sports event: Olympic Games, London 2012. *International Journal of Sports Marketing & Sponsorship*, 15(3), 151–161.
4. Cornwell, T. B., Weeks, C. S., & Roy, D. P. (2005). Sponsorship-linked marketing: Opening the black box. *Journal of Advertising*, 34(2), 21–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2005.10639194>
5. Crow, D., & Hoek, J. (2003). Ambush marketing: A critical review and some practical advice. *Marketing Bulletin*, 14(1), 1–14.
6. Dickson, G., Naylor, M., & Phelps, S. (2015). Consumer attitudes towards ambush marketing. *Sport Management Review*, 18(2), 280–290.
7. Ellis, D. L., Parent, M. M., & Seguin, B. (2016). Olympic Ambush Marketing Networks and Knowledge Transfer: Examining Their Impact on the Institutionalization of Anti-Ambush Marketing Legislation. *Journal of Sport Management*, 30(5), 473–489. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.2015-0205>
8. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.2015-0205>
9. McKelvey, S., Sandler, D., & Snyder, K. (2012). Sport Participant Attitudes Toward Ambush Marketing: An Exploratory Study of ING New York City Marathon Runners. *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, 21(1), 7–18.
10. Meenaghan, T. (1994). Ambush marketing – immoral or imaginative practice? *Journal of Advertising Research*, 34(5), 77–88.
11. Meenaghan, T. (1998). Ambush marketing: corporate strategy and consumer reaction. *Psychology & Marketing*, 15(4), 305–322.
12. Nufer, G. (2013). *Ambush Marketing in Sports: Theory and Practice*. Routledge.
13. O'Sullivan, P., & Murphy, P. (1998). Ambush Marketing: The Ethical Issues. *Psychology & Marketing*, 15(4), 349–366.
14. Piątkowska, M., & Goćłowska, S. (2016). Classification Of Ambush Marketing Methods In Sport – Evidence From The 2012 Uefa European Championship. *International Journal of Contemporary Management*, 15(1), 131–146. <https://doi.org/10.4467/24498939IJCM.16.007.4840>

15. Piątkowska, M., Żyśko, J., & Gocłowska, S. (2015). A Systematic Literature Review on Ambush Marketing in Sport. *Physical Culture and Sport. Studies and Research*, 66, 14–27. <https://doi.org/10.1515/pcssr-2015-0002>
16. Sandler, D. M., & Shani, D. (1989). Olympic Sponsorship vs. 'Ambush' Marketing: Who Gets the Gold? *Journal of Advertising Research*, 29(4), 9–14.
17. Séguin, B., Lyberger, M., O'Reilly, N., & McCarthy, L. (2005). Internationalising ambush marketing — a comparative study. *International Journal of Sports Marketing & Sponsorship*, 6(4), 216–230.
18. Shani, D., & Sandler, D. M. (1998). Ambush marketing: is confusion to blame for the flickering of the flame? *Psychology & Marketing*, 15(4), 367–383.
19. Stipp, H., & Schiavone, N. P. (1996). Modeling the impact of Olympic sponsorship on corporate image. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 36, 22–28.
20. Townley, S., Harrington, D., & Couchman, N. (1998). The Legal and Practical Prevention of Ambush Marketing in Sports. *Psychology & Marketing*, 15(4), 333–348.
21. Wolfsteiner, E., Grohs, R., & Wagner, U. (2015). What Drives Ambush Marketer Misidentification? *Journal of Sport Management*, 29(2), 137–154. <https://doi.org/10.1123/JSM.2014-0122>