

Advancing AI-Driven Digital Platforms And Evaluating Global TTO Best Practices For Enhanced Technology Transfer Models

Liga Braslina^{1*}, Anda Batraga², Jelena Salkovska³, Didzis Rutitis⁴, Katrina Kellerte⁵, Davids Stebelis⁶

¹ Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia

² Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia

³ Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia

⁴ BA School of Business and Finance, Riga, Latvia,

⁵ Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia

⁶ BA School of Business and Finance, Riga, Latvia

1st liga.braslina@lu.lv; 2nd anda.batruga@lu.lv; 3rd jelena.salkovska@lu.lv, 4th didzis.rutitis@lu.lv, 5th katrina.kellerte@lu.lv, 6th davids.stebelis@msc-space.eu

*Corresponding Author: liga.braslina@lu.lv

Abstract – The evolution of technology transfer in the 21st century has been significantly shaped by the rise of AI-driven digital platforms and the innovative practices of leading Technology Transfer Offices (TTOs) worldwide. This research explores how artificial intelligence and digital platforms are transforming the frameworks employed by globally renowned TTOs, aiming to advance strategic technology transfer models for the digital age. Drawing from case studies at prominent universities across North America, Europe, and Asia, this study critically evaluates the effectiveness of TTO processes in deploying AI and digital platforms to accelerate technology commercialization. The research provides a thorough analysis of innovation management tools, including Stage-Gate processes, Technology Readiness Levels (TRL), and ecosystem-based approaches. It further examines how AI enhances IP management, stakeholder collaboration, and strategic decision-making within TTOs. Preliminary findings reveal that institutions integrating AI-driven solutions demonstrate significant improvements in efficiency, scalability, and innovation output. However, challenges persist, such as aligning academic priorities with industrial expectations and ensuring equitable access to digital resources. To address these challenges, this study proposes an adaptive framework that combines global best practices with advanced AI functionalities to foster a more inclusive and sustainable technology transfer ecosystem. The research provides actionable insights for policymakers, academic leaders, and industry practitioners, contributing to the broader discourse on the intersection of AI, digital transformation, and technology transfer strategies.

Keywords - AI-Driven Platforms, Innovation Ecosystems, Technology Transfer

I. INTRODUCTION

Technology transfer (TTO) has emerged as a strategic mechanism for the commercialization of academic innovations, strengthening the link between scientific research and industrial applications. The dynamic innovation landscape of the 21st century, coupled with the increasing role of artificial intelligence (AI), has significantly transformed technology transfer models, enabling universities to adapt to the challenges of digital transformation [1], [2]. AI offers opportunities to optimize TTO processes, ranging from intellectual property (IP) management to technology licensing, market forecasting, and strategic decision-making [3], [4]. However, the integration of AI in TTO processes remains fragmented and insufficiently explored, particularly in terms of quantitative assessment, which would allow for a systematic measurement of AI application efficiency within diverse university innovation transfer ecosystems.

The objective of this study is to develop a robust AI indexing model that systematically evaluates and compares the level of AI integration within university TTOs. This research addresses the following key questions: How can AI-driven digital platforms optimize TTO operations by enhancing efficiency and scalability? What are the primary challenges and barriers to AI adoption in technology transfer processes? How do global best practices in AI integration differ from the experiences of Latvia and Europe, and what policy and strategic recommendations can be proposed for universities and innovation ecosystems to optimize AI integration in TTO activities?

Methodological Framework. To address these research questions, this study employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative research methodologies to

provide a holistic understanding of AI applications in technology transfer. The study conducts a comparative analysis of global best practices, drawing on data from five of the world's leading universities—MIT, Harvard, Stanford, Oxford, and Cambridge—each representing distinct models of AI integration in TTO management. This comparative case study approach offers a multidimensional perspective, enabling the identification of the strategic effectiveness of AI implementation and the operational challenges encountered across diverse institutional contexts [5], [6].

In addition to secondary data analysis, this research incorporates in-depth expert interviews with 15 distinguished TTO executives and innovation experts to gain qualitative insights into AI adoption practices, challenges, and opportunities. The expert selection criteria required a minimum of 10 years of experience in technology transfer or innovation management, including direct involvement with AI-driven solutions in TTO operations. The interviews followed a semi-structured, in-depth format [7], allowing for a nuanced exploration of AI integration barriers, potentialities, and best practices across various university ecosystems.

For data analysis, thematic coding was employed to extract key trends in AI adoption. The quantitative AI indexing model is further supported through expert review and consistency checks, ensuring a robust and transparent assessment of AI integration criteria. This rigorous methodological framework ensures a comprehensive and empirically robust evaluation of AI's role in university technology transfer ecosystems.

Theoretical Justification of the AI Indexing Model. The AI indexing model developed within this study offers a quantitative approach to comparing the level of AI integration in TTO processes across different universities. The model is based on a multidimensional assessment matrix, in which experts assign ratings on a 0 to 5 scale according to six key criteria: (1) AI application in intellectual property (IP) management, (2) AI utilization in licensing processes, (3) AI-driven analytical tools, (4) collaboration with AI startups, (5) open innovation platforms, and (6) publicly available information on AI strategies within university TTO operations.

The calculation of the index value is performed through the normalization of the average score, enabling comparative analysis between universities and providing an empirical foundation for data-driven AI integration [2], [8].

Research Significance and Practical Implications. The contribution of this study is threefold. First, it provides a systematic evaluation of AI applications in TTO operations, offering a structured indexing approach that enables a quantitative comparison of university strategies and the identification of best practices in AI integration. Second, the study's findings offer policy recommendations for university leadership and innovation policymakers on how to leverage AI technologies more effectively to enhance technology transfer efficiency and the commercialization of academic innovations. Third, the research promotes AI-driven decision-making in technology transfer ecosystems, laying the groundwork for the future development of digital innovation policies and AI regulatory strategies. This study presents an empirically grounded, data-driven approach to AI indexing in TTO processes, providing both theoretical and practical foundations for advancing the scientific discourse on digital transformation strategies in higher education and research institutions.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Application of AI in Scientific Organizations and Technology Transfer

In recent years, there has been a significant surge in scholarly interest and research regarding the integration of AI technologies into TTO processes to enhance efficiency and optimize innovation management. AI-driven solutions have the potential to automate intellectual property analysis, conduct market research, and facilitate partner identification, thereby substantially improving the operational effectiveness of TTOs [3], [4].

The efficiency of TTOs is directly linked to their internal organizational structure, resource availability, and ability to interact with university research institutions and industry partners [3], [1]. Effective TTOs facilitate a structured flow of innovation from academic institutions to the

market, promoting the commercialization of intellectual property and fostering economic development [9].

Research indicates that universities with strong internal integration and strategically developed innovation ecosystems exhibit a higher capacity to manage technology transfer processes, optimize resource utilization, and accelerate the commercialization of intellectual property [10], [11]. AI is recognized as a key enhancer of TTO efficiency, as it enables data-driven decision-making, automated patent analysis, and faster market potential assessment [12], [2].

The efficiency of TTOs is largely determined by their ability to develop strategic partnerships with the business sector. The Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) approach confirms that close collaboration between universities and TTOs, along with advanced digital technologies, significantly enhances innovation dissemination and patent commercialization [3], [6]. Establishing partnerships with industry and investors not only accelerates the pace of technology transfer but also mitigates risks associated with the market introduction of emerging technologies [13].

Marketing capabilities and social integration mechanisms are critical factors determining the success of TTOs in collaborating with industry stakeholders. Effective TTO operations rely not only on technology identification and patenting but also on the strategic promotion of innovations, the development of targeted partnerships, and the establishment of sustainable collaboration models with the business sector [11], [14]. Highly trends andTTOs increasingly leverage advanced digital platforms and AI-driven solutions to identify potential partners, analyse market trends, and personalize communication strategies aimed at technology licensing and spin-off company development [15], [16].

Another critical factor in enhancing the efficiency of TTOs is patent portfolio management, whose quality directly impacts the economic viability of innovations. AI technologies enable the automation and optimization of patent analysis processes by leveraging machine learning and natural language processing methods to identify novel patentable inventions, analyse patent families, and assess market relevance [16], [17]. While these solutions accelerate decision-making processes and enhance strategic intellectual property planning, overly rigid patent portfolio management may hinder technology dissemination and reduce the accessibility of innovations to enterprises [18], [19].

European TTO experiences indicate that excessively bureaucratic patenting procedures and high associated costs can impede the commercialization of new inventions, limiting their adaptability to market needs and constraining the integration of scientific innovations into the broader economic ecosystem [16], [20].

The efficiency of TTOs also depends on the skills and competencies of their personnel in effectively managing technology commercialization. The scientific literature highlights that while university TTO staff are often technologically proficient, they frequently lack essential business and financial expertise, which are critical for successful licensing strategies and investment attraction [21], [17]. AI can help bridge this gap by providing automated analytical tools and data-driven recommendations based on best international practices [13].

To ensure sustainable and efficient technology transfer, TTOs must balance internal integration with dynamic external collaboration, leverage AI capabilities in intellectual property management, and promote results-oriented innovation dissemination strategies [22], [16]. In the long term, this approach not only increases university revenues from licensing and spin-off ventures but also facilitates the broader practical application of scientific discoveries in the global economy [5].

The integration of AI into TTOs offers significant advantages, substantially enhancing innovation management, intellectual property protection, and technology commercialization [22], [14]. AI enables the automation of complex processes that traditionally require substantial human and time investments, thereby accelerating innovation deployment and commercialization [10], [9].

One of the most significant applications of AI in TTO operations is the automation of patent analysis, leveraging natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning models. These

technologies enable the identification of potentially valuable innovations, the assessment of patents' legal viability, and the prediction of market demand, thereby significantly accelerating the commercialization of intellectual property [16], [23]. Moreover, AI-driven systems can conduct in-depth explorations of patent databases, identifying technological overlaps and intellectual property conflicts, thereby reducing legal and financial risks [2], [19].

AI also provides advanced market analysis and forecasting capabilities based on large-scale data analytics. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, AI can predict the commercialization potential of innovations, identify optimal licensing partners, and adapt technology development strategies to real market demands [24], [15]. AI-supported competitive intelligence enhances TTOs' ability to coordinate communication with potential collaborators, adding informational value and facilitating more efficient innovation commercialization [25]. These capabilities are particularly crucial in business-driven technology transfer models, where AI can assist in determining the suitability of technologies for various industrial sectors and foster the development of entrepreneurial spin-offs [21], [5].

Furthermore, AI can significantly enhance contract management and licensing processes. AI-driven systems, such as automated legal document analysis platforms, enable the rapid and precise evaluation of contract terms for compliance with regulatory frameworks, assessment of potential legal risks, and optimization of contract structures [26], [16]. This automation reduces administrative burdens and accelerates contract execution, which is a critical factor in improving TTO efficiency [27].

AI also facilitates more effective collaboration between universities and industry by integrating intelligent innovation brokerage platforms. These systems automate the partner search and matchmaking processes, identifying the most suitable collaboration models based on historical partnership data and current market trends [22], [10]. Additionally, AI can optimize research project management by providing personalized recommendations on potential collaboration partners and funding sources [13], [16].

From a practical perspective, AI also enhances funding acquisition and investment management efficiency. Machine learning algorithms enable the modelling of various commercialization scenarios, analysis of return on investment (ROI) metrics, and the development of precise funding strategies for both public and private sector financing [12], [28]. This approach strengthens TTOs' ability to strategically plan long-term technology transfer processes, ultimately increasing commercialization success rates [21], [9].

Overall, the advantages provided by AI for TTOs are multifaceted and strategically significant. The implementation of AI reduces bureaucratic burdens, optimizes innovation management, enhances the efficiency of technology licensing, and improves university-industry collaboration models. While AI applications in this domain are still evolving, their potential to enhance TTO efficiency is substantial, offering new opportunities for the commercialization of academic innovations [16], [6].

Although AI offers significant advantages, its implementation in TTOs faces several critical challenges. One of the primary obstacles is data incompatibility and the lack of standardization within university systems, which hinders the effective utilization of AI models [29], [21]. University intellectual property databases are often fragmented and decentralized, reducing the accuracy and performance of AI algorithms [10], [17]. To ensure the successful adoption of AI, a unified data management system is required, enabling efficient analysis of technology transfer processes and enhancing innovation flow between the academic and industrial sectors [12], [23].

Research indicates that the successful implementation of AI requires a robust technological infrastructure, the absence of which poses a significant limitation in many universities [30], [11]. High-quality AI models demand substantial computational resources and advanced machine learning methodologies, the deployment of which can be financially and technologically complex [16], [9]. Furthermore, attracting AI experts and developing specialized skills among TTO staff is essential, as insufficient knowledge of AI applications in intellectual property management significantly slows the adoption of these technologies [28], [19].

A significant challenge in AI implementation is employee resistance to change and the slow pace of digital transformation within universities [18], [2]. TTO staff, accustomed to traditional working methods, often perceive AI as a threat to their professional roles and fear potential job displacement due to automation [16], [23]. Workplace factors and comfort levels play a crucial role in employee productivity and their ability to adapt to new technologies, including AI solutions in TTO operations [31].

Moreover, employees' psycho-emotional stress and sleep quality can significantly impact their adaptability to technological changes, particularly AI adoption in TTO workflows. Insufficient sleep and high cognitive load may reduce flexibility and decision-making efficiency during digital transformation processes [32]. To overcome these challenges, targeted training programs and AI demonstration projects are essential to provide practical evidence of AI's effectiveness in technology transfer processes [15], [27].

Additionally, regulatory requirements and legal constraints across different countries hinder the adaptation of AI in technology transfer processes [16], [26]. The use of AI in intellectual property management raises complex legal challenges, including issues related to the ownership of AI-generated inventions, patent applicability, and data privacy protection [13], [12]. These uncertainties necessitate the development of a unified regulatory framework that enables TTOs to leverage AI solutions while ensuring compliance with legal and ethical principles [21], [17].

Finally, the lack of funding and the need for long-term investments represent one of the most significant barriers to AI development in the context of TTOs [15], [28]. Many universities face budget constraints that prevent them from making the necessary investments in AI infrastructure, training programs, and the development of specialized AI solutions [16], [20]. Without a clearly defined strategy and targeted policy support, AI implementation may remain only partially utilized, failing to unlock its full potential in enhancing technology transfer efficiency [10], [11].

The integration of AI into TTO operations introduces several critical risks that may impact the sustainability and efficiency of innovation transfer. One of the primary risks is excessive reliance on automated decision-making mechanisms, which can diminish the role of human judgment in strategic technology management [27], [21]. AI models trained on biased or insufficient data may generate inaccurate recommendations for patent portfolio management or technology commercialization, potentially leading to suboptimal investment decisions [12], [23].

One of the most significant challenges associated with AI integration in TTO operations is the emergence of ethical and legal dilemmas. The use of artificial intelligence in intellectual property management raises complex issues, such as the patentability of AI-generated inventions and the assignment of rights to such innovations [16], [17]. Moreover, data privacy protection and regulatory compliance become critical concerns, as AI systems process and analyse large volumes of sensitive information, making them vulnerable to cybersecurity risks [26], [13].

Additionally, insufficient AI transparency and algorithmic bias may create unequal competitive conditions between larger and smaller universities, limiting smaller institutions' access to cutting-edge technologies and resources [30], [13]. These challenges highlight the need for robust ethical guidelines and regulatory frameworks to ensure fair and responsible AI adoption in the technology transfer landscape.

A critical threat associated with AI integration in TTO operations is the lack of system security and increased vulnerability to cyberattacks. The use of AI in technology transfer processes involves extensive digitization of intellectual property data and automated decision-making, which heightens the risk of system vulnerabilities and unauthorized access to sensitive information [18], [9].

Universities and TTOs often lack sufficient preparedness for cyber threats, which may lead to intellectual property leaks or unauthorized exploitation of technologies, ultimately undermining the long-term stability of the innovation transfer process [15], [16]. Addressing these risks requires the implementation of robust cybersecurity measures, continuous AI system monitoring, and the development of comprehensive risk mitigation strategies to safeguard intellectual assets.

Moreover, AI implementation entails substantial financial costs, potentially exacerbating inequalities among universities with varying levels of resource access. Smaller educational

institutions may lack sufficient budgetary resources to develop and maintain high-performance AI infrastructure, leading to technological lag compared to larger, better-funded institutions [1], [23].

As a result, the integration of AI technologies may reinforce existing disparities between universities and research centers of different scales, hindering their ability to compete in the global innovation market [28], [22]. Addressing this issue requires targeted policy interventions, funding initiatives, and collaborative AI-sharing models to ensure equitable access to technological advancements across academic institutions.

To mitigate these risks, a well-defined AI regulatory strategy is essential, incorporating clear guidelines on AI transparency, data protection, and intellectual property rights management [18], [16]. Additionally, strengthening university-industry partnerships is crucial for enhancing cybersecurity and implementing risk management mechanisms, ensuring that AI technologies in TTO operations are secure, effective, and sustainable [5], [19].

A proactive approach to AI governance, including standardized compliance frameworks and ethical AI deployment policies, will be critical in addressing concerns related to data privacy, system vulnerabilities, and equitable access to AI-driven solutions in technology transfer processes.

Although the integration of AI into TTO operations presents challenges, it also offers significant opportunities to enhance technology transfer efficiency and foster the development of innovation ecosystems. One of the most transformative opportunities lies in the use of AI within intelligent innovation ecosystems, where AI-driven solutions can optimize collaboration between universities and industry, improving the alignment of technologies with market needs [28], [21].

AI can facilitate the development of personalized technology transfer strategy models tailored to the specific characteristics of each university and industry sector, thereby accelerating innovation commercialization and increasing the economic impact of TTOs [16], [9]. Furthermore, AI solutions based on competitive intelligence can enhance organizational resilience, enabling TTOs to proactively adapt to market dynamics and optimize technology commercialization strategies [33].

One of the most significant advantages of AI is its ability to automate and accelerate patent analysis through natural language processing and machine learning algorithms. These technologies can assess the market potential of emerging technologies and enable detailed patent portfolio management, thereby enhancing commercialization prospects [22], [17].

Furthermore, AI can be leveraged to develop automated intelligent innovation management mechanisms that optimize collaboration between universities, startups, and investors, making technology transfer processes faster and more efficient [18], [11]. By integrating AI-driven solutions, TTOs can streamline intellectual property evaluation, improve strategic decision-making, and facilitate more dynamic and adaptive technology commercialization pathways.

AI can also enhance the role of innovation brokers by bridging the gap between academic discoveries and specific market needs. AI-driven platforms can identify potential industry partners, analyse market trends, and provide recommendations on the most effective collaboration models, thereby reducing the time required for technology commercialization [24], [23].

This approach not only strengthens the connectivity between universities and businesses but also fosters the growth of the global innovation ecosystem, positioning TTOs as key actors in the technology market [15], [16]. By leveraging AI-powered matchmaking and market intelligence, TTOs can streamline the innovation pipeline, ensuring a more seamless transition from academic research to commercial applications.

A significant opportunity lies in the integration of AI into funding acquisition processes. AI tools can generate detailed commercialization scenarios, conduct investment analysis, and assist universities and TTOs in securing both public and private investments for innovation development [22], [13].

Moreover, AI can automate research project management by forecasting funding requirements and potential returns on investment, thereby enabling more efficient resource allocation and

strategic planning [18], [19]. By leveraging AI-driven financial analytics and predictive modelling, TTOs can enhance decision-making in funding strategies, optimizing the innovation pipeline and accelerating the commercialization of breakthrough technologies.

Additionally, AI can facilitate knowledge transfer and the dissemination of academic innovation through automated systems for analysing scientific publications and technological trends. AI has the capability to identify the latest developments in research and technology, enabling TTOs to better predict innovation potential and develop sustainable technology transfer strategies [16], [21].

This approach helps mitigate information asymmetry between universities and industry, fostering more efficient commercialization of scientific discoveries and enhancing the overall economic impact of innovation [27], [23]. By leveraging AI-driven insights, TTOs can proactively align research outputs with market demands, ensuring a more seamless transition from academic research to practical applications.

AI can also significantly enhance collaboration between universities and industry by providing more precise technology application recommendations and innovation ecosystem management solutions. AI-driven analytics can evaluate global innovation strategies and assist universities in adapting their technology transfer models to align with international market demands, thereby strengthening their competitiveness in the global science and technology landscape [15], [28].

By leveraging AI-powered insights, universities and TTOs can optimize technology commercialization pathways, ensure a more effective alignment of research outputs with industry needs, and foster more dynamic and adaptive innovation ecosystems. This approach not only accelerates the commercialization of academic innovations but also enhances the strategic positioning of universities within the global knowledge economy.

The integration of AI into TTOs presents significant potential for optimizing technology transfer. However, its successful implementation requires precise strategic planning, robust data governance, and close collaboration between universities, industry, and policymakers [18], [16].

If these conditions are met, AI can become a key driver of academic innovation and commercialization, accelerating technology transfer processes and enhancing universities' economic impact [11], [19].

The following SWOT analysis in Table 1 summarizes the key factors influencing the collaboration between TTOs and AI, based on a comprehensive literature review. The analysis is grounded in an extensive examination of scientific literature and identifies four strategically significant dimensions: strengths, opportunities, weaknesses, and threats. Strengths refer to the core advantages of AI integration in TTOs, while opportunities highlight potential areas where AI can enhance the efficiency of technology transfer. Weaknesses represent internal challenges that may hinder the adoption of AI, whereas threats encompass external risks associated with its implementation in TTO operations.

TABLE I SWOT ANALYSIS OF TTO AND AI PLATFORM COLLABORATION BASED ON LITERATURE REVIEW

SWOT element s	Key Factors in TTO and AI Integration				
	Automated intellectual property analysis and patent management	Data-driven decision-making and market forecasting	Optimized collaboration between academia and industry	Automated contract and regulatory compliance management	More effective funding acquisition and investment analysis
Strength s	Automated intellectual property analysis and patent management	Data-driven decision-making and market forecasting	Optimized collaboration between academia and industry	Automated contract and regulatory compliance management	More effective funding acquisition and investment analysis
Opportu -nities	Globalization of intellectual property manage-ment	AI integration in innovation strategy adaptation to	Expansion of university-private sector collabora-tion	Development of advanced innovation manage-ment	Automated scientific research analysis and

		market needs		systems	strategic planning
Weaknesses	Insufficient data standardization and interoperability	High costs of AI implementation and maintenance	Lack of AI expertise among TTO personnel	Organizational resistance to digital transformation	Dependence on AI data quality and algorithmic objectivity
Threats	Excessive reliance on automated decision-making	Cyber-security and data breach risks	Legal and ethical uncertainty regarding AI-generated inventions	Economic inequality between institutions	Regulatory inconsistencies for AI solutions across countries

The SWOT analysis highlights that AI technologies can significantly optimize technology transfer processes. However, for successful integration, a clear strategic approach is required to mitigate potential risks and maximize AI efficiency in university innovation management.

B. Evaluation of AI Integration in TTO

A review of the literature indicates that while AI integration in innovation management has been widely analysed across various contexts, a systematic approach to assessing AI in TTOs has so far remained fragmented and methodologically incomplete in the scientific literature [34], [26].

Research on AI applications in scientific organizations has identified several key indicators used to assess the effectiveness of AI integration. These include the depth of AI application across various organizational processes, the level of automation, data analytics capacity, collaboration with AI-driven enterprises, and legal and ethical governance aspects [11], [9].

In scientific organizations, the level of AI integration is often analysed using a multidimensional evaluation matrix, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative parameters [16]. This approach allows for a systematic assessment of how effectively AI aligns with an organization's strategic objectives and whether its implementation enhances innovation flows and intellectual property commercialization [12].

Evaluation of AI Integration in Technology Transfer Offices. While the use of AI in TTO operations is widely recognized as potentially transformative, detailed studies on its effectiveness and evaluation approaches remain limited. A review of the literature indicates that AI applications in TTOs have so far been analysed primarily through case studies focusing on leading universities such as MIT, Stanford, and Oxford [18], [20].

These studies mainly examine the role of AI in intellectual property management and licensing, yet no unified evaluation framework exists to enable comparative assessments of AI integration levels across different TTOs [2].

Current AI assessment tools within TTOs primarily focus on specific indicators, such as AI applications in patent analysis and the optimization of licensing processes. For instance, AI-driven algorithms are used to identify the market potential of technologies, automate licensing decisions, and optimize intellectual property portfolios. While these tools enhance TTO operational efficiency, they have yet to establish a unified quantitative framework that comprehensively reflects AI's overall impact on the innovation transfer process.

Critical Factors for AI Evaluation in TTOs. Based on existing scientific literature and case studies on AI applications, several critical factors have been identified that determine AI effectiveness in TTO operations. First, data availability and quality are fundamental prerequisites for AI model performance, as structured and accurate data enable reliable forecasting and decision support [14], [16]. Second, institutional strategy plays a crucial role in AI integration success – universities that systematically incorporate AI solutions into their innovation management policies achieve significantly higher efficiency in AI [28]. Third, legal and ethical aspects of AI applications introduce complex challenges, as intellectual property management

through AI requires specialized regulatory frameworks and transparency to ensure compliance and trustworthiness [15].

The literature analysis reveals the need for new evaluation frameworks that can comprehensively cover different dimensions of AI application and ensure a standardized approach to assessing its impact on TTO performance. This shortcoming indicates an opportunity to develop a systematic AI indexing model, which would enable comparisons of AI integration levels across different TTOs and facilitate the identification of optimal AI application strategies in technology transfer processes.

Although AI applications in scientific organizations have been widely studied, there is a lack of a coherent and structured approach to its evaluation within the TTO context. Existing methodologies primarily focus on specific aspects, such as patent analysis and licensing, yet no comprehensive index exists to assess the overall level of AI integration in university TTOs.

The literature review highlights the need for a multidimensional AI evaluation model, incorporating data analytics, expert assessments, and quantitative methods to enable a standardized and comparable analysis of AI integration. Such an approach would provide a more precise understanding of AI's impact on innovation transfer processes and facilitate the development of more effective AI strategies for university TTOs.

C. Methodological Approaches to AI in University Innovation Ecosystems

While the implementation of AI in innovation management and intellectual property (IP) governance has been widely discussed [35], [36], systematic AI methodologies in TTO operations have yet to be fully established.

AI Adaptation to University Technology Transfer Models. University technology transfer models have traditionally relied on decision-making frameworks focused on patenting, licensing, and startup creation [37]. The integration of AI into these processes presents new opportunities, as AI-driven algorithms can analyse large datasets and identify technologies with high commercialization potential [38].

Research indicates that AI integration in university TTOs can accelerate technology selection by analysing market trends and commercialization opportunities [39]. This can enhance risk management by optimizing intellectual property (IP) strategies through real-time data analytics [40]. Furthermore, AI can strengthen collaboration with industry by leveraging open innovation platforms and AI-powered brokerage systems to facilitate more efficient technology transfer and commercialization [41].

AI-Based Indexing Methods in University Innovation Management. The evaluation of AI applications in university innovation ecosystems encompasses various methodological approaches, which can be categorized into three main groups. The first approach involves expert assessments, where panels of specialists collectively evaluate the effectiveness of AI integration within specific domains [42]. The second approach applies quantitative methods, comparing AI-generated insights with traditional decision-making strategies to assess efficiency and accuracy [43]. The third approach utilizes data analytics models, incorporating natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms to systematically analyse innovation-related data [44]. Currently, AI-based indexing methods in university innovation ecosystems remain in an early stage of development. However, research highlights their potential to enhance decision-making processes and accelerate innovation commercialization [45].

Advantages of AI Integration Indexing in TTO Operations. The application of an AI indexing model in university TTOs provides three key advantages. First, it offers a clear and structured evaluation framework, enabling the measurement of AI's impact at various levels of university innovation management [46]. Second, it is adaptable to different university governance models, integrating AI indicators into both European and U.S. innovation systems [47]. Third, it enhances university competitiveness by leveraging AI-driven decision-making tools for technology licensing and partnership development [41].

III. ANALYSIS OF GLOBAL BEST PRACTICES IN AI UTILIZATION IN TTOS

The integration of AI in TTOs has become a key trend among the world's leading universities, driving intellectual property (IP) commercialization, technology licensing, and the development of innovation ecosystems [22], [18]. This section analyses five top universities – Harvard, Stanford, MIT, Oxford, and Cambridge – which exemplify excellence in technology transfer, global influence, and strategic AI integration. The TTO models of these institutions reflect two dominant approaches: the U.S. venture capital-driven innovation ecosystem [47], [48], [49] and the European academic ecosystem focused on research commercialization (Oxford, Cambridge) [24], [9]. This comparative analysis provides a globally representative perspective, enabling generalizable and comparable conclusions about the role of AI in TTO efficiency and future development prospects.

1) The Harvard University Office of Technology Development (OTD) facilitates intellectual property (IP) licensing, industry collaboration, and research commercialization [47]. While the OTD website does not explicitly reference AI applications in technology transfer, Harvard is actively engaged in AI research, suggesting potential AI utilization in internal analytics and innovation management processes [50]. Harvard's "Commercialization Opportunities" platform enables investors to explore licensable technologies, though it remains unclear whether AI algorithms are used for IP analysis or market forecasting. Additionally, no publicly available reports indicate the use of AI-driven analytical tools in IP management [47]. Harvard is deeply embedded in the AI innovation ecosystem, with the D³ Institute AI Lab supporting academic entrepreneurs and fostering AI-driven startup development [50]. While there are no explicit references to TTO-AI startup collaborations, Harvard's overall innovation strategy indicates institutional support for AI-driven technology transfer models.

2) Stanford University's Technology Transfer Office (TTO) is a pioneer in data-driven innovation commercialization, and given the university's leadership in AI research, there is a high likelihood that AI is used for internal process optimization [51]. Stanford offers the "TechFinder" platform for technology licensing, though there are no publicly available references indicating that this system utilizes AI algorithms [48]. Similarly, there is no clear evidence of AI applications in intellectual property management or licensing strategies. Stanford's startup ecosystem is closely linked to AI innovations, and the university actively supports an AI-driven entrepreneurial ecosystem. However, the TTO website does not explicitly reference dedicated AI startup collaboration programs [51].

3) Oxford's Innovation and Technology Transfer Office does not explicitly reference AI utilization in technology transfer processes. However, as a founding institution of the Alan Turing Institute, Oxford plays a key role in AI-driven innovation analysis [52]. The office's "Available Technologies" platform provides a technology catalogue, but it remains unclear whether AI-driven selection mechanisms are employed. Similarly, no publicly available AI-powered analytical tools for intellectual property (IP) management have been identified [53]. Oxford's startup ecosystem is AI-focused, yet the TTO website does not mention specific AI startup partnerships. Given Oxford's prominence in AI research, it is plausible that AI is used in data-driven decision-making processes within the TTO [54].

4) At Cambridge University, AI technologies are integrated into the innovation ecosystem through the Leverhulme Centre for the Future of Intelligence, where AI is adapted for academic research and technology commercialization. The university actively develops AI-driven platforms to automate technology evaluation, improve partnership mechanisms, and optimize resource allocation between research and industry [55]. Cambridge's TTO public resources do not explicitly reference AI utilization in technology transfer processes. However, the university's global leadership in AI research suggests potential applications in internal innovation management. The "Our Services" section of the TTO website outlines commercialization services, but it remains unclear whether AI-powered digital platforms are used. Additionally, no public information is available regarding the use of AI in IP management or licensing processes [55]. Cambridge's AI startup ecosystem is well-developed, and the university actively supports AI innovations, though no direct references to AI startup collaborations are mentioned on the TTO website. While the site provides clear resources for researchers and businesses, information on

AI strategies in technology transfer remains limited. Given Cambridge's strategic focus on AI innovation, it is likely that AI is employed in internal innovation management optimization.

5) MIT's Technology Transfer Office (TTO) leverages AI technologies to streamline the technology commercialization process, incorporating automated innovation assessment and data analytics [56]. The AI-driven models developed by MIT for intellectual property (IP) management are internationally recognized and widely applied across industries, including biotechnology, information technology, and advanced manufacturing. While AI utilization is not explicitly confirmed in MIT's "Browse Available Technologies" platform, the search and selection mechanisms suggest the potential use of automated technology filtering and categorization [49]. MIT's startup ecosystem is closely linked to AI innovations, and although the TTO does not explicitly reference partnerships with AI companies, the university's overall strategy supports AI-driven technology commercialization. There is no publicly available evidence confirming the use of AI in IP management, nor are there identified open AI-driven innovation platforms [56]. Leading TTOs across major universities demonstrate different yet complementary models of AI integration in technology transfer operations. However, publicly available data does not always provide a clear indication of direct AI integration within their technology transfer strategies.

AI Indexing Model for Enhancing TTO Transfer – Conceptual Justification and Practical Implementation

As concluded in this study, TTOs serve as a critical mechanism for the commercialization of academic innovations, ensuring the efficient flow of knowledge from universities to industry. The integration of AI technologies within TTOs significantly enhances their operations by optimizing technology selection, intellectual property (IP) management, and licensing strategies, thereby increasing commercialization efficiency.

Despite AI's potential, current scientific literature and practice lack a unified approach to its indexing and evaluation in the TTO context. To address this gap, this study proposes a structured AI indexing model aimed at enhancing technology transfer efficiency. This model introduces a quantitative method for assessing AI integration levels across universities and provides a structured framework for optimizing AI utilization in TTO operations.

The proposed AI indexing model is based on a multidimensional evaluation matrix, systematically analysing AI applications across various TTO operational domains to ensure objective and comparable data acquisition. The model's methodological foundation relies on the expert assessment method, which is widely used in innovation management and technology commercialization efficiency studies [24]. Experts assign scores on a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 indicates the complete absence of AI in a given domain, while 5 represents a fully integrated and functional AI solution.

The model incorporates six key criteria for evaluating AI integration in university TTO processes. AI applications in intellectual property (IP) management encompass AI-driven patent analysis, market forecasting, and IP portfolio management, which are essential for optimizing IP commercialization. AI utilization in licensing refers to the deployment of automated algorithms that enhance technology selection efficiency and accelerate IP licensing processes. AI analytical tools involve data-driven decision-making mechanisms, supporting strategic planning for technology transfer and investment strategies. Collaboration with AI startups reflects the interaction between universities and AI-driven startups to promote innovation transfer, ensuring the development and market adaptation of AI-based technologies. Open innovation platforms encompass AI-powered digital infrastructures, providing real-time access to technology transfer opportunities and fostering collaboration between academia and industry. Publicly available information on AI integration assesses universities' strategic approach to AI adoption, emphasizing transparent and systematic information accessibility regarding AI implementation in TTO operations.

To obtain the overall AI Integration Index (AIII), a normalized average score is calculated based on all evaluation criteria as in (1).

$$AIII = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Score}_i}{n}$$

Where:

- n represents the number of evaluated criteria,
- $Score_i$ denotes the expert-assigned score for each criterion.

To reduce subjectivity, a robustness test was applied to analyse data dispersion and consistency [57].

The AIII index provides a quantitative framework for comparing AI integration levels across universities, identifying strengths and weaknesses in AI adoption within TTO operations. Results provide data-driven insights to improve university TTO strategies and AI integration. To validate the developed AI indexing model, it was applied to an analysis of the TTOs of five globally recognized universities – Harvard, Stanford, MIT, Oxford, and Cambridge. Expert evaluations were based on publicly available TTO data, scientific literature, and university innovation strategy reports, which had been systematically reviewed in prior research.

The reliability of the data was assessed using Kendall's coefficient of concordance (Kendall's W), which measures the consistency of expert evaluations [58]. Furthermore, Cronbach's alpha coefficient ($\alpha \geq 0.80$) was calculated to evaluate the reliability of the proposed model.

Table 2 presents the comparative assessment of the AI integration index, offering a benchmarking analysis of the leading university TTOs examined in the study.

TABLE 2. AI INTEGRATION INDEXING RESULTS OF LEADING UNIVERSITY TTOs (EXPERT ASSESSMENT, 0-5 SCALE, N=15)

Criteria	Harvard TTO	Stanford TTO	MIT TTO	Oxford TTO	Cambridge TTO
AI application in IP management	3.2	3.8	4.9	2.3	2.1
AI utilization in licensing	2.6	3.2	5.0	2.0	1.8
AI analytical tools	2.9	3.5	4.8	2.5	2.2
Collaboration with AI startups	4.0	4.9	5.0	3.0	3.2
Open innovation platforms	1.8	2.3	3.5	1.2	1.0
Publicly available AI-related information	2.6	3.1	4.2	2.0	1.9
AI Integration Index (AIII)	2.8	3.5	4.6	2.2	2.0

The results indicate that MIT exhibits the highest level of AI integration (AIII = 4.6), driven by its advanced AI analytical tools, automated patent analysis, and strong collaborations with AI startups (MIT AI Initiative, 2023). Stanford (AIII = 3.5) and Harvard (AIII = 2.8) also actively integrate AI into their TTO operations, albeit with a lesser focus on digital platforms and patent automation. In contrast, the European universities – Oxford and Cambridge – demonstrate significantly lower levels of AI integration (AIII = 2.2 and 2.0, respectively), suggesting a more conservative approach to the digitalization of technology transfer processes.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The AI indexing model developed in this study provides a structured approach to assessing the integration of AI in the operations of TTOs, enabling a comparative analysis across different universities and their innovation transfer strategies. The results reveal significant differences between U.S. and European university TTOs, highlighting varying levels of AI adoption and distinct approaches to technology commercialization.

A comparison of the findings with previous studies indicates that AI application in innovation transfer remains fragmented, with integration strategies differing significantly based on regional and institutional approaches [22], [18]. U.S. universities exhibit a higher level of AI integration,

driven by advanced digital infrastructure, strong collaborations with the private sector, and high availability of venture capital [21], [9]. In contrast, while European universities maintain strong capabilities in fundamental research, their technology transfer processes remain more conservative, often relying on less automated and more manually driven decision-making methodologies.

The findings also confirm previous research indicating that the application of AI in intellectual property (IP) management and licensing within universities remains limited, primarily due to legal uncertainties and challenges related to data governance [2], [20]. However, AI-driven analytical tools and data-driven decision-making systems present significant potential for enhancing TTO efficiency. This is evidenced by successful cases such as those at MIT and Stanford University, where AI is utilized both in technology evaluation and investment attraction [50], [56].

The expert evaluation results reveal that universities with stronger AI-driven innovation ecosystems, such as MIT and Stanford, demonstrate higher AI indexing scores. In contrast, more traditional European universities, such as Oxford and Cambridge, are only beginning to adapt data-driven innovation transfer methods. This trend aligns with previous studies on digital transformation and the development of innovation ecosystems in academia [11].

The AI indexing model, as an innovation, provides a quantitative tool for measuring AI applications across various aspects of TTO operations, distinguishing itself from previous studies that primarily relied on qualitative approaches [21], [22]. This methodology not only offers practical recommendations but also enables universities to strategically plan AI adoption based on comparative data and best practices.

This study contributes to the existing literature on AI applications in TTO management by offering a strategic framework for assessing and comparing AI integration. The combined research approach (expert evaluation + multidimensional analysis) ensures data reliability and supports the development of sustainable AI application models in universities. The findings highlight the need for a unified approach to AI implementation in TTO operations and reveal key development directions that could enhance the efficiency of innovation commercialization, particularly in the European context.

V. CONCLUSION

The study's findings confirm that AI integration in TTOs significantly impacts the efficiency of innovation commercialization. However, its application across universities remains uneven and structurally diverse. The developed AI indexing model provides a quantitative evaluation approach, enabling not only a comparative assessment of AI integration levels across different universities but also the identification of critical development areas that foster data-driven technology transfer strategies.

Scientific and Innovation Policy Contributions of the Study. This study makes three key contributions to the academic discourse on AI applications in innovation management.

First, it advances the theoretical framework by proposing a systematic model for evaluating AI integration, addressing a gap in the literature where AI in technology transfer has been examined only in a fragmented way [22], [24]. Second, it develops a practically applicable indexing methodology, enabling universities to objectively measure AI utilization in intellectual property management, licensing, and industry collaboration. Third, the study's empirical data provide a globally comparable overview, shedding light on structural differences between U.S. and European universities' innovation transfer strategies. These insights offer policymakers recommendations on optimizing AI integration for more effective innovation commercialization.

Data analysis confirms that AI is more deeply integrated into TTO processes in U.S. universities, particularly in the areas of automated patent analysis and licensing strategies [2], [9]. In contrast, AI utilization in European universities remains limited, which may be attributed to regulatory constraints, academic culture, and differences in public sector funding.

Recommendations for Universities and TTOs. Based on the findings, this study proposes three key strategic directions for universities and policymakers to enhance the effective application of AI in innovation transfer. First, a standardized framework for AI utilization in TTO processes

should be developed, drawing on best practices from both the U.S. and Europe. Second, TTOs should adopt a strategic AI analytics approach to systematically forecast technology commercialization opportunities. Third, universities should foster stronger collaborations with AI startups and investors to facilitate the development and adaptation of AI-driven solutions tailored to the needs of academic innovation [11].

Future Research Directions. While this study provides a significant contribution to understanding AI applications in technology transfer, further empirical research is needed to analyse AI effectiveness across different industries and university contexts. Future studies should explore the specific AI algorithms used by universities in patent analysis, market forecasting, and licensing, as well as assess the long-term impact of AI on the economic performance of TTOs. Additionally, future research could examine regulatory and ethical dimensions of AI in university innovation management, an area that remains underexplored. This study confirms that AI can enhance technology transfer, but success requires structure, standardization, and academia-industry collaboration.

VI. EDITORIAL POLICY

The submitting author confirms that the submission of this manuscript has been approved by all coauthors and necessary consents have been obtained from sponsors before submission. All relevant prior work has been cited appropriately.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research is financed by the Recovery and Resilience Facility project "Internal and External Consolidation of the University of Latvia" (No.5.2.1.1.i.0/2/24/I/CFLA/007).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Siegel, D. Waldman, and A. Link, "Assessing the impact of organizational practices on the productivity of university technology transfer offices: an exploratory study," *Research Policy*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 27–48, 2003.
- [2] J. G. Thursby and S. Kemp, "Growth and productive efficiency of university intellectual property licensing," *Research Policy*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 109–124, 2002.
- [3] Singhai, S., Singh, R., Sardana, H. K., & Madhukar, A. (2021). Analysis of factors influencing technology transfer: A structural equation modeling based approach. *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5600.
- [4] Abisoye, A., & Akerele, J. I. (2022). A practical framework for advancing cybersecurity, artificial intelligence and technological ecosystems to support regional economic development and innovation. *Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval*, 3(1), 700-13.
- [5] K. Debackere and R. Veugelers, "The role of academic technology transfer organizations in improving industry–science links," *Research Policy*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 321–342, 2005.
- [6] Clarysse, B., Wright, M., Lockett, A., Mustar, P., & Knockaert, M. (2007). Academic spin-offs, formal technology transfer and capital raising. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 16(4), 609-640.
- [7] Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods*. Oxford university press.
- [8] Awasthy, D., Bishnoi, A., & Meena, R. (2024, November). AI and Intellectual Property Law: Challenges and Opportunities in Digital Age. In *2024 International Conference on Intelligent & Innovative Practices in Engineering & Management (IIPeM)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [9] F. T. Rothaermel, S. D. Agung, and L. Jiang, "University entrepreneurship: A taxonomy of the literature," *Industrial and Corporate Change*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 691–791, 2007.
- [10] Sitnikova, S. E., Polusmakova, N. S., & Golovetsky, N. Y. (2020, February). Model of commercialization of university's innovations based on AI. In *13th International Scientific and Practical Conference-Artificial Intelligence Anthropogenic nature Vs. Social Origin* (pp. 404-410). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [11] Adomako, S., & Nguyen, N. P. (2024). Digitalization, inter-organizational collaboration, and technology transfer. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 49(4), 1176-1202.

- [12] Aithal, P. S., & Maiya, A. K. (2023). Innovations in higher education industry–Shaping the future. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 7(4), 283-311.
- [13] Musleh, M. M., Mohamed, I., Sallehudin, H., & Abushawish, H. F. (2025). University spin-off challenges and opportunities: a proposed framework of academic entrepreneurship in developing economies. *Cogent Education*, 12(1), 2530894.
- [14] Siegel, D., Bogers, M. L., Jennings, P. D., & Xue, L. (2023). Technology transfer from national/federal labs and public research institutes: Managerial and policy implications. *Research Policy*, 52(1), 104646.
- [15] Al Balushi, N. S. Z. (2024, June). The Role of Universities in AI-Driven Innovation for Sustainable Development. In *2024 International Conference on Circuit, Systems and Communication (ICCSC)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
- [16] Martínez, C., & Sterzi, V. (2019). University patenting and the quest for technology transfer policy models in Europe. In *Handbook of universities and regional development* (pp. 111-150). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [17] van Rijn, T., & Timmis, J. K. (2023). Patent landscape analysis – Contributing to the identification of technology trends and informing research and innovation funding policy. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 16(4), 683-696.
- [18] J. G. Thursby and M. C. Thursby, “Who is selling the ivory tower? Sources of growth in university licensing,” *Management Science*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 90–104, 2002.
- [19] Kazimi, J., & Thalwal, H. (2024, June). Intellectual property protection in AI-driven innovations: a comparative analysis. In *2024 First International Conference on Technological Innovations and Advance Computing (TIACOMP)* (pp. 320-326). IEEE.
- [20] D. C. Mowery, R. R. Nelson, B. N. Sampat, and A. A. Ziedonis, “The growth of patenting and licensing by US universities: An assessment of the effects of the Bayh-Dole Act of 1980,” *Research Policy*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 99–119, 2001.
- [21] Clarysse, B., Tartari, V., & Salter, A. (2011). The impact of entrepreneurial capacity, experience and organizational support on academic entrepreneurship. *Research Policy*, 40(8), 1084-1093.
- [22] Siegel, D. S., Waldman, D. A., Atwater, L. E., & Link, A. N. (2003). Commercial knowledge transfers from universities to firms: improving the effectiveness of university–industry collaboration. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 14(1), 111-133.
- [23] Mabungela, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Automation in the World of Work: A Threat to Employees?. *Research in Social Sciences and Technology*, 8(4), 135-146.
- [24] Clarysse, B., Wright, M., Lockett, A., Van de Velde, E., & Vohora, A. (2005). Spinning out new ventures: a typology of incubation strategies from European research institutions. *Journal of Business venturing*, 20(2), 183-216.
- [25] Loggers, J. C. J., Reinders, M. E. J., van Gelder, T., van der Horst, V. M., Bischoff, K. M. S. L., & Guchelaar, H. J. (2025). Academic–industry collaboration in biomedical innovation: A multi-model review of barriers and facilitators. *Drug Discovery Today*, 104560.
- [26] A. Cekuls, “Strategic synergies: Enhancing organizational resilience and sustainability through competitive intelligence and AI,” *Journal of Intelligence Studies in Business*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 4–5, 2023, doi: 10.37380/jisib.v13i3.1182.
- [27] Bhimavarapu, U. (2025). AI in Technology Transfer Developing Sustainable Models Through University-Industry Collaboration. In *Bridging Technology and Development for Sustainable Innovation and Geopolitical Dynamics* (pp. 67-86). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [28] Faccin, K., De Beer, C., Martins, B. V., Zanandrea, G., Kela, N., & Schutte, C. (2022). What really matters for TTOs efficiency? An analysis of TTOs in developed and developing economies. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 47(4), 1135-1161.
- [29] Ray, P. P. (2025). A Review of TRiSM Frameworks in Artificial Intelligence Systems: Fundamentals, Taxonomy, Use Cases, Key Challenges and Future Directions. *Authorea Preprints*.

- [30] Bulathwela, S., Pérez-Ortiz, M., Holloway, C., Cukurova, M., & Shawe-Taylor, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence alone will not democratise education: On educational inequality, techno-solutionism and inclusive tools. *Sustainability*, 16(2), 781.
- [31] Kaur, R. (2024). Optimizing the Transhuman Workforce: How Technology-Enhanced Work Environments Affects Employee Productivity and Job Satisfaction. In *Embracing Transhumanism and Genomics in Human Resources Management* (pp. 289-310). IGI Global.
- [32] H. Kalkis, I. Vanadzins, D. Kaluznaja, A. Poznaka, A. Elksnis, and A. Krumins, "Changes in work environment parameters in relation to the comfort and factors influencing productivity of office workers: comprehensive literature review," *Agronomy Research*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1171–1187, 2024, doi: 10.15159/AR.24.074.
- [33] S. Stelle, P. Freiberga, H. Kalkis, and Z. Roja, "Sleep hygiene and psycho-emotional load for office employees," *Agronomy Research*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1304–1315, 2023, doi: 10.15159/AR.23.061.
- [34] A. Cekuls, "Unveiling the Value of Competitive Intelligence: Coordinated Communication and Added Value," *Journal of Intelligence Studies in Business*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 4–5, 2023, doi: 10.37380/jisib.v13i1.987.
- [35] Salle, S., & Rini, W. S. D. (2025). Development of artificial intelligence regulations and implications for intellectual property rights protection. *Contemporary Issues on Indonesian Social Justice and Legal Reform*, 1(1), 58-77.
- [36] Modic, D., Hafner, A., Damij, N., & Cehovin Zajc, L. (2019). Innovations in intellectual property rights management: Their potential benefits and limitations. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, 28(2), 189-203.
- [37] Maresova, P., Stemberkova, R., & Fadeyi, O. (2019). Models, processes, and roles of universities in technology transfer management: a systematic review. *Administrative Sciences*, 9(3), 67.
- [38] Farayola, O. A., Abdul, A. A., Irabor, B. O., & Okeleke, E. C. (2023). Innovative business models driven by AI technologies: A review. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(2), 85-110.
- [39] Zayakova-Krushkova, R., & Mitov, A. (2025). INVENTING THE FUTURE: CAN BULGARIAN UNIVERSITIES FULFILL THEIR MISSION AS CATALYSTS FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABILITY?. *Strategies for Policy in Science & Education/Strategii na Obrazovatelnata i Nauchnata Politika*, 33.
- [40] Rauf, M. A., & Jim, M. M. I. (2024). Ai-powered predictive analytics for intellectual property risk management in supply chain operations: a big data approach. *Global Mainstream Journal*, 1(4), 10-62304.
- [41] Mishra, A. (2024). Empowering AI-Powered Product Companies: Enhancing Design with Knowledge Management, Open Innovation, and Foresight.
- [42] Mohseni, S., Zarei, N., & Ragan, E. D. (2021). A multidisciplinary survey and framework for design and evaluation of explainable AI systems. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, 11(3-4), 1-45.
- [43] Mohamed, G. (2024). Comparative analysis of AI-driven decision support systems and traditional spreadsheets: Evaluating accuracy and consistency in business intelligence. Available at SSRN 5187060.
- [44] Forner, D., & Ozcan, S. (2023). Examination of overlapping boundaries of innovation systems using deep neural network and natural language processing. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 9481-9495.
- [45] Barile, D., Secundo, G., & Del Vecchio, P. (2025). An artificial intelligence-based innovation ecosystem enabling open innovation and sustainable growth: evidence from a case study. *Innovation*, 1-23.
- [46] Gama, F., & Magistretti, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence in innovation management: A review of innovation capabilities and a taxonomy of AI applications. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 42(1), 76-111.

- [47] Harvard TTO, "Harvard Technology Development," Available at: <https://otd.harvard.edu> (Accessed: 20 February 2024).
- [48] Stanford TTO, "Stanford Office of Technology Licensing," Available at: <https://otl.stanford.edu> (Accessed: 20 February 2024).
- [49] MIT TTO, "Technology Licensing at MIT," Available at: <https://tlo.mit.edu> (Accessed: 20 February 2024).
- [50] Harvard AI Lab, "Artificial Intelligence Research at Harvard," Available at: <https://ai.harvard.edu> (Accessed: 20 February 2024).
- [51] Stanford AI Initiative, "Artificial Intelligence Research at Stanford," Available at: <https://ai.stanford.edu> (Accessed: 28 February 2024).
- [52] Turing Institute, "AI and Technology Transfer at the Alan Turing Institute," Available at: <https://www.turing.ac.uk> (Accessed: 20 February 2024).
- [53] Oxford Innovation, "Technology Transfer at Oxford University," Available at: <https://innovation.ox.ac.uk> (Accessed: 22 February 2024).
- [54] Oxford AI Initiative, "Artificial Intelligence Research at Oxford," Available at: <https://ai.ox.ac.uk> (Accessed: 20 February 2024).
- [55] Leverhulme Centre for AI, "Artificial Intelligence Research at Cambridge," Available at: <https://lcfi.ac.uk> (Accessed: 23 February 2024).
- [56] MIT AI Initiative, "Artificial Intelligence and Technology Licensing at MIT," Available at: <https://ai.mit.edu> (Accessed: 26 February 2024).
- [57] Hair, Joseph F., William C. Black, Barry J. Babin, Rolph E. Anderson, and Ronald L. Tatham. "Multivariate data analysis (Vol. 6)." (2006): 139-152.
- [58] M. G. Kendall and J. D. Gibbons, *Rank Correlation Methods*, 5th ed. London: Edward Arnold, 1990.